Homework Assignment 4 Due via Canvas, April 10th by midnight

SDS 384-11 Theoretical Statistics

- 1. Consider an i.i.d. sample of size n from a discrete distribution parametrized by p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1} on m atoms. A common test for uniformity of the distribution is to look at the fraction of pairs that collide, or are equal. Call this statistic U.
 - (a) Is U a U statistic? When is it degenerate?
 - (b) What is the variance of U? Please give the exact answer, without approximation.
 - (c) For a hypothesis test, we will consider alternative distributions which have $p_i = \frac{1+a}{m}$ for half of the atoms in the distribution and $\frac{1-a}{m}$ for the other half ($0 \le a \le 1$), for some a > 0. Assume that there are an even number of atoms. (Hint: think of this as a multinomial distribution.)
 - i. What are the mean and variance of this statistic under the null?
 - ii. What are the mean and variance of this under the alternative?
 - iii. What is the asymptotic distribution of U under the null hypothesis that $p_i = 1/m$? Hint: you can use the fact that for $X_1, \ldots, X_N \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} multinomial(q_1, \ldots, q_k)$, $\sum_{i=1}^k (N_i - Nq_i)^2 / Nq_i \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi^2_{k-1}$, where N_i is the number of datapoints with value *i*.
 - iv. Under the alternative hypothesis, is it always the case that U has a limiting normal distribution? Can you give a sufficient condition on the number of atoms m so that this is true? Hint: Your variance will have two parts, and when the first one (with 1/n dependence on n) dominates the second (with $1/n^2$ dependence on n), you have a normal convergence. Typically, if m is small, the first one will dominate, however, it is possible that m is very large, in so you need n to be sufficiently large for the first term to dominate the second.
- 2. In class, you upper bounded the Rademacher complexity of a function class. Now you will derive a lower bound.
 - (a) For function classes \mathcal{F} with function values in [0,1], prove that $E \|\hat{P}_n P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \ge \frac{\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\log 2}{2n}}$. Hint: may be it is easier to start from $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and show that $\mathbb{R}_F \le 2E \|\hat{P}_n P\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \sqrt{\frac{2\log 2}{n}}$. In order to do this, you would need to add and subtract E[f(X)] and then use triangle inequality.
 - (b) Now prove that $||P \hat{P}_n||_{\mathcal{F}} \ge E||P \hat{P}_n||_{\mathcal{F}} \epsilon$ with probability at least $1 \exp(-cn\epsilon^2)$ for some constant c.
 - (c) Recall the class of all subsets with finite size in [0, 1]? Prove that then Rademacher complexity of this class is at least 1/2. What does this imply?

- 3. Compute the VC dimension of the following function classes. You can take it as everything on or inside the shape is +ve. You should provide a complete proof of your answer.
 - (a) Circles in \mathbb{R}^2
 - (b) Axis aligned squares in \mathbb{R}^2
 - (c) The function class $\{1(\sin(\theta x) \ge 0) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$