

SDS 321: Introduction to Probability and Statistics

Lecture 22: Continuous random variables- max of two independent r.v.'s, iterated expectation, Inequalities, WLLN

Purnamrita Sarkar
Department of Statistics and Data Science
The University of Texas at Austin
www.cs.cmu.edu/~psarkar/teaching

Roadmap

- ▶ Useful inequalities and the normal approximation to Binomial

Some useful inequalities-Markov

So far we have looked at expectations and variances of sums of independent random variables. Today we will also look at their behavior when the number of random variables is increasing.

- ▶ For a positive random variable X and $t > 0$,

$$P(X > t) \leq E[X]/t.$$

- ▶ How do we show this?

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= E[X|X \geq t]P(X \geq t) + E[X|X < t]P(X < t) \\ &\geq E[X|X \geq t]P(X \geq t) \geq tP(X \geq t) \end{aligned}$$

$$P(X \geq t) \leq E[X]/t$$

- ▶ All this comes in handy to show that a random variable cannot be too far from its expectation if the variance is small.

Some useful inequalities

So far we have looked at expectations and variances of sums of independent random variables. Today we will also look at their behavior when the number of random variables is increasing.

- ▶ Remember Markov's inequality? For a positive random variable X and some $t > 0$, we said that $P(X \geq t) \leq \frac{E[X]}{t}$
- ▶ We can use this to bound $P(|X - E[X]| \geq c)$.

$$P(|X - \mu| \geq c) = P((X - \mu)^2 \geq c^2) \leq \frac{E[(X - \mu)^2]}{c^2}$$

- ▶ This is the famous Chebyshev inequality.
- ▶ All this comes in handy to show that a random variable cannot be too far from its expectation if the variance is small.

Weak law of large numbers

The WLLN basically states that the sample mean of a large number of random variables is very close to the true mean with high probability.

- ▶ Consider a sequence of i.i.d random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean μ and variance σ^2 .
- ▶ Let $M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$.

Weak law of large numbers

The WLLN basically states that the sample mean of a large number of random variables is very close to the true mean with high probability.

- ▶ Consider a sequence of i.i.d random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean μ and variance σ^2 .
- ▶ Let $M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$.
- ▶ $E[M_n] = \frac{E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n]}{n} = \mu$

Weak law of large numbers

The WLLN basically states that the sample mean of a large number of random variables is very close to the true mean with high probability.

- ▶ Consider a sequence of i.i.d random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean μ and variance σ^2 .
- ▶ Let $M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$.
- ▶ $E[M_n] = \frac{E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n]}{n} = \mu$
- ▶ $\text{var}(M_n) = \frac{\text{var}[X_1] + \dots + \text{var}[X_n]}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

Weak law of large numbers

The WLLN basically states that the sample mean of a large number of random variables is very close to the true mean with high probability.

- ▶ Consider a sequence of i.i.d random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean μ and variance σ^2 .
- ▶ Let $M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$.
- ▶ $E[M_n] = \frac{E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n]}{n} = \mu$
- ▶ $\text{var}(M_n) = \frac{\text{var}[X_1] + \dots + \text{var}[X_n]}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$
- ▶ So $P(|M_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$


Weak law of large numbers

The WLLN basically states that the sample mean of a large number of random variables is very close to the true mean with high probability.

- ▶ Consider a sequence of i.i.d random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean μ and variance σ^2 .
- ▶ Let $M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$.
- ▶ $E[M_n] = \frac{E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n]}{n} = \mu$
- ▶ $\text{var}(M_n) = \frac{\text{var}[X_1] + \dots + \text{var}[X_n]}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$
- ▶ So $P(|M_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$
- ▶ For large n this probability is small.

Illustration

Consider the mean of n independent Poisson(1) random variables. For each n , we plot the distribution of the average.



Can we say more? Central Limit Theorem

Turns out that not only can you say that the sample mean is close to the true mean, you can actually predict its distribution using the famous Central Limit Theorem.

- ▶ Consider a sequence of i.i.d random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean μ and variance σ^2 .
- ▶ Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$. Remember $E[\bar{X}_n] = \mu$ and $\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = \sigma^2/n$
- ▶ Standardize \bar{X}_n to get $\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$
- ▶ As n gets bigger, $\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$ behaves more and more like a $Normal(0, 1)$ random variable.
- ▶ $P\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < z\right) \approx \Phi(z)$

Can we say more? Central Limit Theorem




Figure: (Courtesy: Tamara Broderick) You bet!

Example

An astronomer is interested in measuring the distance, in light-years, from his observatory to a distant star. Although the astronomer has a measuring technique, he knows that, because of changing atmospheric conditions and normal error, each time a measurement is made it will not yield the exact distance, but merely an estimate. As a result, the astronomer plans to make a series of measurements and then use the average value of these measurements as his estimated value of the actual distance. If the astronomer believes that the values of the measurements are independent and identically distributed random variables having a common mean d (the actual distance) and a common variance of 4 (light-years), how many measurements need he make to 95% sure that his estimated distance is accurate to within ± 0.5 lightyears?

Example

An astronomer is interested in measuring the distance, in light-years, from his observatory to a distant star. Although the astronomer has a measuring technique, he knows that, because of changing atmospheric conditions and normal error, each time a measurement is made it will not yield the exact distance, but merely an estimate. As a result, the astronomer plans to make a series of measurements and then use the average value of these measurements as his estimated value of the actual distance. If the astronomer believes that the values of the measurements are independent and identically distributed random variables having a common mean d (the actual distance) and a common variance of 4 (light-years), how many measurements need he make to 95% sure that his estimated distance is accurate to within ± 0.5 lightyears?

- ▶ Let \bar{X}_n be the mean of the measurements.
- ▶ How large does n have to be so that $P(|\bar{X}_n - d| \leq 0.5) = 0.95$

$$P\left(\frac{|\bar{X}_n - d|}{2/\sqrt{n}} \leq 0.25\sqrt{n}\right) \approx P(|Z| \leq 0.25\sqrt{n}) = 1 - 2P(Z \leq -0.25\sqrt{n}) = 0.95$$

- ▶ $P(Z \leq -0.25\sqrt{n}) = 0.025$
 $-0.25\sqrt{n} = -1.96$
 $\sqrt{n} = 7.84$
 $n \approx 62$

Normal Approximation to Binomial

The probability of selling an umbrella is 0.5 on a rainy day. If there are 400 umbrellas in the store, what's the probability that the owner will sell at least 180?

- ▶ Let X be the total number of umbrellas sold.
- ▶ $X \sim \text{Binomial}(400, .5)$
- ▶ We want $P(X > 180)$. Crazy calculations.

Normal Approximation to Binomial

The probability of selling an umbrella is 0.5 on a rainy day. If there are 400 umbrellas in the store, what's the probability that the owner will sell at least 180?

- ▶ Let X be the total number of umbrellas sold.
- ▶ $X \sim \text{Binomial}(400, .5)$
- ▶ We want $P(X > 180)$. Crazy calculations.

- ▶ But can we approximate the distribution of X/n ?
- ▶ $X/n = (\sum_i Y_i)/n$ where $E[Y_i] = 0.5$ and $\text{var}(Y_i) = 0.25$.
- ▶ Sure! CLT tells us that for large n , $\frac{X/400 - 0.5}{\sqrt{0.25/400}} \sim N(0, 1)$
- ▶ So $P(X > 180) = P((X - 200)/\sqrt{100} > -2) \approx P(Z \geq -2) = 1 - \Phi(-2) = 0.97$

Summary

- ▶ We looked at conditional expectation as a random variable.
- ▶ For two r.v.'s X, Y $E[X|Y]$ is a random variable which is a function of Y
- ▶ Law of Iterated expectation: $E[E[X|Y]] = E[X]$.
- ▶ We also saw Chebyshev's inequality, WLLN and the CLT.